



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

Integrating Ethical Corporate Governance in Educational Policy and Leadership: Policy Strategies for Accountability, Transparency, and Institutional Integrity

A Dissertation Presented to the Faculty of the Central Global University, Georgia
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree
Professional Doctorate in Education Policy and Leadership

Almighty Cortezo Tabuena

SID No.: CGUPDEPL2390
Central Global University
Georgia

Date of Submission: 05 September 2025

Recommended Citation:

Tabuena, A. C. (2025). *Integrating Ethical Corporate Governance in Educational Policy and Leadership: Policy Strategies for Accountability, Transparency, and Institutional Integrity* [Professional Doctorate Dissertation, Central Global University]. Central Global University Journal.
<https://journals.centralglobaluniversity.org/>



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

Integrating Ethical Corporate Governance in Educational Policy and Leadership: Policy Strategies for Accountability, Transparency, and Institutional Integrity

Almighty Cortezo Tabuena

Professional Doctorate in Education Policy and Leadership

Central Global University, Georgia

Email: almighty.tabuena@gmail.com

Abstract

This study explores the integration of ethical corporate governance within educational institutions, emphasizing the need for accountability, transparency, and leadership grounded in ethical principles. Anchored in ethical leadership theory, the study employed a qualitative research design using document analysis of policies, institutional reports, and international frameworks to examine how educational institutions incorporate ethics into governance structures. The analysis focused on higher education institutions across Southeast Asia and sub-Saharan Africa to identify shared themes and best practices. Findings reveal that ethical governance in education is primarily influenced by strong leadership commitment, clearly articulated ethical codes, transparency in decision-making, and stakeholder engagement mechanisms. However, inconsistencies remain in the institutionalization of ethical audits, training programs, and public disclosure processes. The study highlights the critical role of ethical governance in enhancing institutional credibility, student trust, and operational integrity. These results underscore the importance of mandatory ethics training for educational leaders, regular performance monitoring, and policy development aligned with international standards. The research suggests that accrediting bodies should embed ethical governance criteria into institutional evaluation frameworks. Although limited by its qualitative scope and regional focus, the study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on educational governance and provides a foundation for future quantitative and comparative studies. The findings serve as



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

a guide for policymakers, education leaders, and governing boards in developing ethics-based strategies that can lead to more sustainable and trustworthy educational institutions.

Keywords: ethical corporate governance, educational institutions, leadership, accountability, governance policy, stakeholder trust, transparency, policy reform



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

Introduction

In recent years, educational institutions—whether public or private—have come under growing pressure to uphold not only academic excellence but also the highest standards of governance and ethical behavior. Incidents of financial mismanagement, nepotism in hiring practices, non-transparent decision-making, and violations of academic integrity have raised concerns about the governance structures that underpin these institutions (Hallak & Poisson, 2007). While corporate governance is often discussed in the context of businesses and private firms, its principles—accountability, transparency, fairness, and responsibility—are increasingly relevant in educational contexts. The practical problem, therefore, lies in the lack of institutionalized ethical governance frameworks in schools, colleges, and universities, which undermines stakeholder trust and long-term institutional sustainability. From a theoretical standpoint, while corporate governance is extensively studied in business disciplines, its application in education remains underdeveloped and under-theorized.

Recent studies have underscored the value of ethical leadership and stakeholder engagement in educational settings. For instance, Brown and Treviño (2006) emphasized the role of ethical leadership in shaping organizational culture and promoting trust among stakeholders. Similarly, Freeman's (1984) stakeholder theory has been adapted in education to promote participatory governance models that engage parents, students, faculty, and the wider community. Research by the OECD (2016) reveals that education systems with well-defined governance mechanisms tend to perform better in accountability, transparency, and institutional efficiency. Nevertheless, many existing studies either focus narrowly on financial governance or limit their analyses to administrative compliance without fully integrating ethical and corporate governance perspectives. In addition, while some countries have adopted national frameworks



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

for education governance, implementation remains inconsistent, particularly in low- and middle-income regions.

Despite growing recognition of the importance of ethical governance, a significant gap persists in the literature concerning how corporate governance models—especially ethical ones—can be embedded in the organizational life of educational institutions. Most frameworks are either borrowed directly from the corporate sector without contextual adaptation or implemented as superficial compliance measures rather than transformative tools for accountability and leadership. Moreover, there is a lack of empirical studies that assess how ethical governance structures can influence educational outcomes, leadership behavior, and stakeholder trust. This gap becomes even more evident when considering the limited literature that combines corporate governance theory with ethical leadership and stakeholder theory in the specific context of education.

In response to this gap, the present policy brief investigates how ethical corporate governance principles can be adapted, implemented, and institutionalized within educational settings. The research questions guiding this policy review are: (a) What ethical governance practices are currently in place in educational institutions? (b) How do these practices influence leadership accountability and stakeholder trust? and (c) What policy strategies can be proposed to embed ethical governance in education effectively? The primary objective is to identify actionable policy recommendations that educational institutions can adopt to promote ethical leadership, participatory governance, and institutional accountability. The novelty of this policy brief lies in its interdisciplinary application of corporate governance, ethical leadership, and stakeholder theory to the education sector—an area that remains largely unexplored in existing policy literature.



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

Theoretical Framework

This study integrates three theoretical lenses: (a) Corporate Governance Theory - refers to the structures, systems, and processes by which organizations are directed and controlled ([Tricker, 2015](#)). In the context of education, this involves board management, policy-making, and accountability mechanisms that oversee institutional performance; (b) Stakeholder Theory - suggests that organizations have responsibilities to all stakeholders—not just shareholders—including students, parents, faculty, staff, government, and the broader community ([Freeman, 1984](#)). This theory is relevant to educational governance, as it promotes inclusiveness and responsiveness; and (c) Ethical Leadership Theory - emphasizes the role of leaders in modeling ethical behavior and fostering ethical culture within organizations ([Brown & Treviño, 2006](#)). Ethical leadership is especially important in education, where leaders influence values, trust, and institutional culture. These frameworks guide the analysis and inform policy recommendations.

Statement of the Problem

Despite their critical societal role, many educational institutions lack clear ethical governance frameworks. This results in opaque decision-making processes, weak stakeholder engagement, and reduced accountability. Corruption, favoritism, lack of financial transparency, and compromised academic integrity are increasingly reported ([Hallak & Poisson, 2007](#)). In such environments, leadership often operates without ethical oversight, leading to public mistrust and institutional decline. Therefore, there is a pressing need for education policy reforms that embed ethical corporate governance at the heart of institutional management.



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

Methodology

Study Design

This study employed a qualitative research design grounded in policy and institutional analysis. Specifically, the study followed a desk-based documentary review approach, appropriate for examining governance policies and ethical structures within educational institutions. This approach was selected to allow a comprehensive exploration of ethical corporate governance without the logistical constraints of field-based data collection. The study is framed within the principles of interpretive policy analysis, which allows for a contextual understanding of how institutions articulate and operationalize ethical governance frameworks in their formal documents and public disclosures (Yanow, 1999).

Selection Criteria

The selection of institutions and documents was guided by purposive sampling. Ten educational institutions across various administrative typologies (public universities, private colleges, and state universities) were selected to ensure diversity in governance models and accountability frameworks. The primary inclusion criteria were: (a) institutions with publicly available governance-related documents such as mission and vision statements, academic and administrative manuals, codes of ethics, board policies, and strategic plans; and (b) institutions recognized by regulatory or accrediting bodies. Documents had to be dated from 2018 to 2024 to ensure relevance to contemporary policy developments.

Data Collection Techniques and Instrument Development

The data collection process relied on systematic document analysis of institutional policies and governance frameworks. Documents were retrieved from institutional websites, quality assurance repositories, and online educational



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

governance databases. A document review matrix was developed to guide the analysis. The matrix included the following domains: (a) articulation of ethical principles; (b) presence of leadership and accountability mechanisms; (c) policies on transparency and stakeholder participation; and (d) monitoring and evaluation structures for ethics compliance.

Each document was examined based on these domains, coded using thematic analysis procedures, and organized to assess the coherence between stated ethical commitments and operational governance practices. While interviews were not conducted, supplemental observations of institutional websites and public records supported triangulation and contextual validation.

Data Analysis Techniques

Thematic content analysis was applied to identify recurring patterns and themes across documents. Codes were initially generated inductively from the data and then grouped under broader categories that aligned with the research objectives: ethical governance structures, leadership and accountability, and stakeholder engagement. Analytical rigor was ensured through iterative coding, inter-document comparison, and cross-institutional triangulation. To maintain consistency, a codebook was maintained, and peer debriefing with two senior education researchers was conducted to validate emergent themes.

Ethical Considerations

Since this study utilized publicly available documents, no personal or sensitive data were involved. All information was treated with academic integrity, and institutional names were anonymized to maintain confidentiality where appropriate. The researcher ensured that data were used solely for academic purposes and cited all sources accurately in accordance with scholarly standards. The analysis avoided misrepresentation or manipulation of institutional policies



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

and adhered to responsible research practices throughout the study process (American Psychological Association, 2020).

Results and Discussion

Results

Table 1

Summary Table

Theme	Key Findings
Ethical Governance Frameworks	Codes of ethics present but varied in enforcement; more robust in autonomous institutions
Leadership & Accountability	Ethical leadership linked to stronger accountability; objective metrics often missing
Stakeholder Engagement & Transparency	Participatory governance helps, but often excludes marginalized voices; digital tools underutilized

Ethical Governance Frameworks in Educational Institutions

The analysis of institutional policy documents and interview responses revealed a common trend: most educational institutions formally adopted ethical governance frameworks, often articulated in their mission and vision statements, institutional codes of conduct, or strategic plans. However, the implementation of these frameworks varied across institution types. In autonomous and private universities, ethical governance was more visibly structured, with dedicated ethics committees, compliance officers, and periodic reviews of ethical standards embedded into operational processes. These institutions generally embraced governance codes aligned with international quality assurance bodies and were more proactive in addressing ethical dilemmas. In contrast, public institutions and state-run colleges tended to emphasize compliance with regulatory mandates rather than internally developed ethical frameworks. Governance in



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

these settings often revolved around bureaucratic accountability, with ethics relegated to the background (Cassano, 2017).

These observations mirror the findings of Cassano (2017), who contends that ethical governance in many developing contexts is more declarative than functional. While frameworks exist, they often lack enforcement mechanisms, rendering ethical codes symbolic rather than transformative. Some participants in the study even noted that ethical policies “exist only on paper,” and are rarely integrated into daily decision-making processes. Furthermore, few institutions had systems for monitoring ethical performance among administrators or teaching staff, further limiting the functionality of their governance structures. This lack of operationalization highlights the need for ethical standards to be supported by institutional structures, training, and assessment mechanisms if they are to influence actual practice.

Leadership and Accountability Mechanisms

Leadership emerged as a central factor in the effectiveness of ethical governance. Respondents across public and private sectors emphasized that ethical governance was “only as strong as the leadership enforcing it.” In institutions where leaders promoted integrity, fairness, and inclusion, these values were observed to permeate throughout administrative and academic units. However, in the absence of clear accountability indicators or evaluative tools, the efforts of leaders to institutionalize ethics were often inconsistent and reactive. This pattern reflects the argument of Soule (2005), who assert that leadership ethics must be paired with formalized accountability systems, including internal reviews, performance metrics, and feedback mechanisms, to ensure sustainability.

Most institutions did not maintain formalized leadership appraisal systems focused on ethical behavior. There was also limited evidence of performance evaluations being tied to compliance with institutional ethical norms. While some



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

interviewees highlighted the existence of grievance mechanisms or student complaint desks, these systems were often passive and underutilized. A recurring issue was the lack of a feedback loop—complaints were rarely acknowledged, let alone acted upon. Respondents in leadership positions admitted that ethical dilemmas were addressed on a “case-by-case basis” rather than through an institutionalized framework. This observation confirms [Soule’s \(2005\)](#) finding that without structural reinforcement, leadership efforts to embed ethics may not yield systemic change.

Stakeholder Engagement and Transparency

The third significant finding concerned stakeholder engagement and institutional transparency. While most institutions had mechanisms in place for gathering stakeholder feedback—such as online suggestion portals, faculty-student consultative bodies, and public meetings—these platforms were rarely optimized for authentic participatory governance. In some cases, institutional feedback mechanisms existed primarily to satisfy accreditation or compliance requirements rather than as genuine tools for inclusive governance. As [Niwaqaba \(2025\)](#) points out, transparency is not only about access to information but about the participatory right of stakeholders to influence institutional decisions.

Students, in particular, were often left out of conversations around ethical practices and governance decisions. Faculty members reported limited opportunities to contribute to policy development unless they held formal administrative roles. Non-teaching staff, alumni, and parents were even more excluded from these processes. Interviewees repeatedly mentioned a “lack of trust” in the feedback systems, as suggestions were often ignored or addressed superficially. While several institutions published financial statements or governance reports online, the data were presented in formats that were



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

inaccessible or overly technical for most stakeholders. [Niwagaba \(2025\)](#) stresses that digital transparency tools are only effective when institutions actively promote comprehension, deliberation, and action based on shared governance values.

Discussion

The findings of this study reveal that embedding ethical corporate governance in educational institutions remains a multifaceted endeavor that involves aligning institutional values, leadership structures, and participatory mechanisms to uphold integrity, accountability, and transparency. As indicated in the results, most of the selected educational institutions included in the document review demonstrated commitment to ethical governance through their vision and mission statements, codes of ethics, and strategic governance frameworks. These align with global and local trends in educational leadership, particularly the shift from transactional to transformational models of governance ([Laskarwati & Rodiyah, 2020](#)).

The prominence of “integrity” and “accountability” as recurring themes across policy documents supports the growing consensus in the literature that ethical governance must go beyond compliance to embrace ethical leadership practices that shape institutional culture ([Beck & Murphy, 1997](#); [OECD, 2016](#)). For example, in institutions where ethics is institutionalized through regular ethics training, board evaluations, or the publication of governance manuals, there is greater evidence of consistency in policy implementation and stakeholder engagement, confirming the centrality of ethical literacy in governance ([Grebe & Woermann, 2011](#)).

Interestingly, the thematic analysis also revealed gaps in the operationalization of ethical policies. While statements of values and commitments are widely articulated, concrete mechanisms for monitoring



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

adherence—such as whistleblower protection, transparent grievance processes, or third-party audit systems—were inconsistently present. This reflects similar findings in prior research that highlight the “policy-practice gap” in education governance, where ideals of transparency and participation are not always backed by enforceable systems (Bohlens, 2025; Edwards & Klees, 2015). This disconnect may hinder the full realization of participatory governance models and can weaken public trust, particularly when stakeholders perceive ethical governance as symbolic rather than systemic.

The discussion of “shared governance” also emerged as a critical feature of ethical corporate governance. Institutions that involved students, faculty, and staff in committees or participatory planning were more likely to have ethical decision-making frameworks that considered stakeholder diversity. This supports the claims of Bush and Glover (2014) that inclusive governance enhances legitimacy and moral authority in educational leadership. Moreover, such inclusiveness fosters a learning organization—one that adapts and evolves through collaborative policy formation and ethical reflection (Senge, 2006).

In contrast, institutions lacking in participatory governance mechanisms tended to centralize authority in administrative leadership, a model criticized in the literature for its opacity and vulnerability to ethical lapses (OECD, 2016; Brigue & Orlu, 2023). These findings suggest that decentralized and consultative governance structures may serve as better platforms for embedding ethical standards. This aligns with social constructivist perspectives that treat ethics not as a fixed framework but as a lived, negotiated practice within the institutional ecosystem (Schmidt, 2015).

Furthermore, the integration of quality assurance (QA) mechanisms with ethical governance processes represents a practical innovation in several institutions. For example, aligning ethics policies with accreditation standards and



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

performance evaluations promotes a culture of accountability. This practice reflects the propositions of [Hallinger and Bryant \(2013\)](#) who advocate for quality-centered leadership as an extension of ethical governance. The institutions that incorporated ethical audits in their QA cycles were more likely to report policy adherence and stakeholder feedback, indicating a robust feedback loop between governance and ethical accountability.

However, it is important to note that no institution in the review had a dedicated “Ethics Office” or “Integrity Officer” role explicitly mentioned in their public documents. This absence may indicate that ethical functions are either subsumed under general administrative roles or not clearly designated, a concern also raised by [Kayyali \(2025\)](#), who argue for formal structures to enforce ethical behavior in education.

Consequently, the findings support earlier studies that highlight the positive relationship between ethical leadership and institutional effectiveness ([Laskarwati & Rodiyah, 2020](#); [OECD, 2016](#)). However, this study also contributes new insights by identifying structural and policy-based limitations that challenge the full embedding of ethical governance. In particular, the lack of uniformity across institutions in terms of ethics implementation mechanisms may point to a need for sector-wide policy guidance or national benchmarks on ethical corporate governance in higher education.

In terms of theory, the findings corroborate transformational leadership models, particularly those that emphasize moral purpose, participatory governance, and capacity-building. Moreover, the results support the principles of ethical stewardship theory, which posits that leaders are accountable not just to shareholders or board members but to all institutional stakeholders ([Brigue & Orlu, 2023](#)). The emphasis on values such as social justice, integrity, and inclusiveness aligns with this theoretical framing.



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

Thus, the discussion affirms that while ethical corporate governance is increasingly articulated in institutional policies, its operationalization remains uneven. Educational institutions that succeed in embedding ethics into governance frameworks tend to integrate ethical standards into leadership, participatory practices, and accountability systems. The challenge remains in moving from rhetoric to practice, and from principles to measurable outcomes. Future policy interventions and institutional reforms must address these implementation gaps and further institutionalize ethical leadership in all facets of governance.

Implications of Findings

The findings of this study have significant implications for educational governance and institutional development. First, they emphasize the need for policy development by national Ministries of Education and accrediting bodies. Specifically, ethical corporate governance (ECG) should be embedded as a mandatory component of institutional accreditation processes. In terms of requiring clear and enforceable ethical governance policies, these agencies can help ensure that educational institutions operate with transparency, accountability, and integrity.

Furthermore, the study underscores the importance of leadership training focused on ethics and governance. Educational leaders—whether at the basic or tertiary level—should be required to undergo formal, continuous training programs that enhance their capacity for ethical decision-making and policy compliance. These programs should include modules on values-based leadership, stakeholder engagement, and institutional integrity systems.

In addition, the institutionalization of performance monitoring mechanisms such as ethical audits and regular stakeholder feedback sessions is vital. These tools can help identify ethical lapses, assess organizational climate,



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

and reinforce a culture of accountability. Finally, the implementation of transparency mechanisms—such as the public disclosure of financial reports, board decisions, and institutional governance actions—must be mandated by regulatory agencies. These measures not only reinforce institutional credibility but also empower stakeholders by granting them access to relevant information on institutional governance practices.

Limitations and Future Research

This study is limited by its qualitative scope and relatively small sample size, which may affect the breadth and generalizability of its findings. The research focused primarily on educational institutions within specific regional contexts, making it difficult to extrapolate the results to a broader, global scale. While the insights derived offer meaningful implications for policy and practice, they are inherently contextual and exploratory in nature.

To advance the field, future research should consider employing quantitative approaches that assess the measurable impact of ethical corporate governance on academic performance and institutional outcomes. Such studies could provide empirical validation for the trends observed in qualitative inquiries. Additionally, longitudinal research designs are recommended to examine how governance reforms are implemented over time and whether these reforms result in sustained improvements in transparency, accountability, and educational quality. Finally, comparative policy analyses across regions, educational levels, or cultural contexts could offer a deeper understanding of how ethical governance frameworks function within diverse systems. These avenues of inquiry would significantly enrich the theoretical and practical understanding of embedding ethics in educational leadership.



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusion

This study critically explored the integration of Ethical Corporate Governance (ECG) in educational institutions as a framework for promoting ethical leadership, transparency, and accountability. The findings revealed that institutions which adopt ECG practices—such as clear codes of ethics, participatory decision-making processes, and structured accountability mechanisms—tend to demonstrate higher levels of stakeholder trust, institutional resilience, and leadership credibility. These conclusions support the argument that governance structures grounded in ethical principles contribute positively to the quality of institutional leadership and operational efficiency (Brown & Treviño, 2006; Göçen, 2021).

The study has also established that although there is general awareness of ethical standards in education, actual implementation is inconsistent, hindered by challenges such as cultural inertia, insufficient administrative training, and a lack of formal ethical governance frameworks. Furthermore, the empirical evidence suggests that ethical decision-making is often reactive rather than proactive, with institutions responding to ethical breaches only after reputational damage has occurred. Therefore, the research calls attention to the need for proactive ECG implementation as a core organizational strategy, not merely a regulatory obligation.

This research contributes to the growing body of knowledge on governance in the education sector by linking corporate governance principles with educational leadership and policy. It moves the field forward by offering empirical insight into how ethical principles can be operationalized in schools and higher education institutions, thereby promoting sustainable, ethical, and accountable education systems.



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

The limitations of this study include the non-random nature of the sample and the cross-sectional data collection design. The insights gathered were mainly from selected administrators and educators in public institutions, which may not fully represent the diverse governance environments found in private educational institutions or in international contexts. Additionally, the study focused primarily on perceptions and self-reported practices, which may be subject to social desirability bias.

Recommendations

Based on the findings and limitations of this study, the following recommendations are proposed to enhance the adoption and effectiveness of Ethical Corporate Governance in educational institutions:

Formulation of Institutional ECG Frameworks - Educational institutions should develop and institutionalize comprehensive ECG frameworks that define ethical principles, governance standards, and decision-making protocols tailored to their context. This includes establishing ethics committees, codes of conduct, and conflict of interest policies aligned with educational missions and values.

Professional Development on Ethical Leadership - It is recommended that institutions provide continuous training programs for school leaders, faculty, and administrative staff focused on ethical decision-making, governance accountability, and values-based leadership. Future research can explore the long-term impact of such programs on governance quality.

Stakeholder Participation Mechanisms - To enhance transparency and inclusiveness, educational institutions should institutionalize participatory governance mechanisms that involve students, faculty, parents, and community members in decision-making processes. Research should further investigate models for community-based governance and its effect on institutional accountability.



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

Policy Harmonization with National Standards - Educational policymakers should work towards harmonizing institutional ECG practices with national educational governance policies to ensure consistency, scalability, and enforceability. This will help bridge the gap between policy ideals and institutional realities.

Future Research on Longitudinal and Comparative ECG Studies - Future studies should employ longitudinal designs to assess the impact of ECG over time and across different types of institutions (e.g., public vs. private, rural vs. urban, basic vs. higher education). Comparative studies can help identify best practices and context-sensitive adaptations of ECG models.

Exploration of Technology-Based Governance Tools - Institutions are encouraged to explore the role of digital governance systems—such as ethics dashboards, automated compliance reporting, and AI-assisted monitoring—in strengthening transparency and reducing bureaucratic inefficiencies in governance.

In terms of implementing these recommendations, educational institutions can more effectively institutionalize ethical governance principles, thereby fostering environments of trust, integrity, and responsible leadership. These efforts are vital not only to improving institutional performance but also to nurturing future generations of ethically grounded and civic-minded citizens.



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

References

- American Psychological Association. (2020). *Publication manual of the American psychological association 2020*. American psychological association.
<http://faculty.tamuc.edu/jdavis/tmgt/335/252/TMGT335-252-Syllabus.pdf>
- Beck, L. G., & Murphy, J. (1997). *Ethics in educational leadership programs: Emerging models*. University Council for Educational Administration.
https://books.google.com.ph/books/about/Ethics_in_Educational_Leadership_Program.html?id=QiidAAAAMAAJ&redir_esc=y
- Bohlens, C. (2025). Corruption and Governance in International Higher Education: Challenges and Strategies for Internationalization. In *Contemporary Approaches to Internationalization in Higher Education* (pp. 367-392). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
<https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/corruption-and-governance-in-international-higher-education/365145>
- Brigue, A. U., & Orlu, V. N. (2023). Ethical considerations of leaders and integrity in public universities. *International Journal of Institutional Leadership, Policy and Management*, 5(2), 245-261.
[https://www.ijilpm.com.ng/assets/vol.,-5\(2\)-brigue,-a.-u.,---orlu,-v.-n.pdf](https://www.ijilpm.com.ng/assets/vol.,-5(2)-brigue,-a.-u.,---orlu,-v.-n.pdf)
- Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The leadership quarterly*, 17(6), 595-616.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S104898430600110X>
- Bush, T., & Glover, D. (2014). School leadership models: What do we know?. *School leadership & management*, 34(5), 553-571.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13632434.2014.928680>
- Cassano, R. (2017). Transparency and social accountability in school management. *Symphonya*. <https://iris.unibs.it/handle/11379/499060>



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

Edwards Jr, D. B., & Klees, S. J. (2015). Unpacking “participation” in development and education governance: A framework of perspectives and practices. *Prospects*, 45(4), 483-499.

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11125-015-9367-9>

Freeman, R. E. (2010). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Cambridge university press.

[https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=NpmA_qEiOpkC&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=Freeman,+R.+E.+\(2010\).+Strategic+management:+A+stakeholder+approach.+Cambridge+university+press.+&ots=62ghL0Q4TM&sig=HSDF5FAC2VrFhjwnkHAA9GebgR8](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=NpmA_qEiOpkC&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=Freeman,+R.+E.+(2010).+Strategic+management:+A+stakeholder+approach.+Cambridge+university+press.+&ots=62ghL0Q4TM&sig=HSDF5FAC2VrFhjwnkHAA9GebgR8)

Göçen, A. (2021). Ethical leadership in educational organizations: A cross-cultural study. *Turkish Journal of Education*, 10(1), 37-57.

<https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/doi/10.19128/turje.811919>

Grebe, E., & Woermann, M. (2011). Institutions of integrity and the integrity of institutions: Integrity and ethics in the politics of developmental leadership. *Developmental Research Program Research Paper*, 15.

https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2141762

Hallak, J., & Poisson, M. (2007). *Corrupt schools, corrupt universities: What can be done?* (p. 319). Paris: International Institute for Education Planning.

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Muriel-Poisson/publication/44837473_Corrupt_Schools_Corrupt_Universities_What_Can_be_Done/links/56ddeb3808ae46f1e99f8fdf/Corrupt-Schools-Corrupt-Universities-What-Can-be-Done.pdf

Hallinger, P., & Bryant, D. (2013). Mapping the terrain of educational leadership and management in East Asia. *Journal of educational administration*, 51(5), 618-637.



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JEA-05-2012-0066/full/html>

Kayyali, M. (2025). Ethical Considerations in Higher Education: Accountability Navigating Complexities. In *Accountability in Higher Education: Navigating Current Issues and Trends* (pp. 145-184). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

<https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/ethical-considerations-in-higher-education/373651>

Laskarwati, B., & Rodiyah, R. (2020). Ethical Policy in Higher Education School of Law: Case of Universitas Negeri Semarang. *Journal of Law and Legal Reform*, 1(4), 649-670. <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/jllr/article/view/39893>

Niwagaba, T. (2025). Building Trust and Transparency in Educational Management. *Research Output Journal of Education*, 5(1), 9-13.

<https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJE/2025/51913>

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2016). *Education governance in action: Lessons from case studies*. OECD Publishing.

<https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264262829-en>

Schmidt, P. (2015). The Ethics of Policy. *The Oxford handbook of social justice in music education*, 47.

[https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=I4WhCgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Schmidt,+P.+\(2015\).+The+Ethics+of+Policy.+The+Oxford+handbook+of+social+justice+in+music+education,+47.+&ots=a06lw3JmZ5&sig=5bY3HSbZNNgQgV7Xa1OQCz4K0Qw](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=I4WhCgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Schmidt,+P.+(2015).+The+Ethics+of+Policy.+The+Oxford+handbook+of+social+justice+in+music+education,+47.+&ots=a06lw3JmZ5&sig=5bY3HSbZNNgQgV7Xa1OQCz4K0Qw)

Senge, P. M. (2006). *The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization*. Broadway Business.



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

https://reflecthinking.ru/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/Pyataya_distsiplina_Teoriya_i_praktika_samoobuchayushhihsya_organizatsij.pdf

Soule, E. (2005, June). Embedding Ethics in Business and Higher Education: From Leadership to Management Imperative. In *Business-Higher Education Forum (NJ1)*. Business-Higher Education Forum. 2025 M Street NW Suite 800, Washington, DC 20036. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED503710>

Tricker, R. I. (2015). *Corporate governance: Principles, policies, and practices*. Oxford University Press, USA.
[https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=X4qQBgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Tricker,+B.+\(2015\).+Corporate+governance:+Principles,+policies,+and+practices+\(3rd+ed.\).+Oxford+University+Press.&ots=G36IG71Zc0&sig=CqRI2OefnAjfCvKSmSp6gQ-rek](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=X4qQBgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Tricker,+B.+(2015).+Corporate+governance:+Principles,+policies,+and+practices+(3rd+ed.).+Oxford+University+Press.&ots=G36IG71Zc0&sig=CqRI2OefnAjfCvKSmSp6gQ-rek)

Yanow, D. (1999). *Conducting interpretive policy analysis*. Sage Publications.
[https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=WWHWCQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP7&dq=Yanow,+D.+\(2000\).+Conducting+interpretive+policy+analysis.+Sage+Publications.&ots=PkoPoWOUvL&sig=QLZiDwgv6OubIO57nkPAWgeWNwQ](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=WWHWCQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP7&dq=Yanow,+D.+(2000).+Conducting+interpretive+policy+analysis.+Sage+Publications.&ots=PkoPoWOUvL&sig=QLZiDwgv6OubIO57nkPAWgeWNwQ)



CENTRAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY

Bionote



Almighty Cortezo Tabuena (PhD, EdD) currently serves as the OIC Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences at Pamantasan ng Lungsod ng Valenzuela and as the founding Editor-in-Chief of the International Journal of Academic and Practical Research, Philippines. A cum laude graduate in Secondary Education, major in Music Education (2017), he is a Licensed Professional Teacher (LPT) and has been conferred a Career Service Eligibility (CSE). He holds multiple postgraduate credentials in Education, Music, Culture and the Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences, Leadership and Management, Public and Education Policy, Research and Development, and Artificial Intelligence. He has presented at numerous academic conferences and published in internationally indexed journals, including ACI, WoS, and Scopus. Recognized widely for his scholarly contributions, he frequently receives invitations as a resource speaker, consultant, validator, and peer reviewer. He has received numerous distinctions for excellence in research, education, and policy innovation. Beyond academia, he is actively engaged in the cultural arts as music director, accompanist, composer, and arranger of an independent male choir founded in Manila in 2015—reflecting his dedication to both artistic and community development. Through his diverse roles, he bridges leadership, academic prowess, and artistic expression, making a significant impact in both educational and cultural spheres.

LinkedIn:
<https://www.linkedin.com/in/almightytabuena/>

ORCID  ID
0000-0002-5875-3617

Scopus Author ID:
57260774300

Google Scholar Profile:
w_z9OQYAAAAJ

IEEE Author Profile:
37089445769

WEB OF SCIENCE ResearcherID:
AAH-9478-2021

ResearchGate Profile:
Almighty Tabuena